

4. Remarks/Arguments

Drawings

The Examiner has objected to the drawings. A responsive amendment has been made in Fig. 4. A replacement drawing sheet is submitted herewith.

Specification

The Examiner has objected to the specification. Responsive amendments have been made in paragraphs [0033] and [0035].

Claims

The Examiner has rejected claims 10-18 and 20. Claims 1-9 and 16 are now cancelled. The applicant has amended claim 10. No new claims have been added. Claims 10-15, 17, 18 and 20 remain in the application.

The Examiner has rejected each of claims 10-18 and 20 on the basis of Chen '328. The applicant has amended independent claim 10 to include the limitation of former claim 16, which has now been cancelled. The applicant notes that the Examiner did not include a specific reason for rejecting claim 16 in the previous Office action. The applicant respectfully asserts that Chen '328 neither teaches nor suggests the limitation of former claim 16 (now amended claim 10) regarding side angle. In fact, Chen '328 teaches that the declining surface of the grooves formed by anisotropic wet etching and forming the Chen "reflectors" is set at 54.74°. (See col. 2, lines 9-11 and col. 4, lines 47-49.) Chen '328 thus teaches away from the invention of claim 1 as amended.


Since each of claims 11-15, 17, 18 and 20 are dependent upon claim 10, they are allowable for the same reason as presented herein with respect to amended claim 10.

As amended and for the reasons set forth above, the applicant asserts that all elected claims in the application are allowable, and reconsideration and allowance is therefore requested.

Date: 5-22-2007

Reg. No. 41,715
Telephone: (501) 371-0808
Facsimile: (501) 376-9442
Customer No.: 47990

Respectfully submitted,



J. Charles Dougherty
Wright, Lindsey & Jennings LLP
200 W. Capitol Avenue
Suite 2300
Little Rock, AR 72201-3699